

Guest Editorial: Special Issue on Cognitive Radio Oriented Wireless Networks and Communications

Y. Thomas Hou · Alexander M. Wyglinski ·
Maziar Nekovee · Honggang Zhang ·
Rajarithnam Chandramouli · Frederick Martin

Published online: 18 June 2008
© Springer Science + Business Media, LLC 2008

Keywords cognitive radio · wireless networks

1 Background

In recent years, there has been an exponential rise in the number of wireless standards such as 802.11 a,b,g,n (and more), 802.15 (Bluetooth, Zigbee, UWB), WCDMA (and several modes), CDMA 2000 (1xEV-DV, 1xEVDO),

Wireless USB, and 802.16 (various modes) to name just the most prominent standards. The abundance of standards today is the result of a desire in the industry to support new applications, with each standard custom-tailored to a specific operating environment and spectrum. It is not hard to envision that wireless coverage will be pervasive in the future and will support a variety of applications that are undreamed of today.

On the other hand, wireless spectrum access has been regulated with static spectrum allocation since the early 1920s, which assigns a fixed block of spectrum to each new wireless service for its exclusive use. Over the years, the remaining useful spectrum available for new wireless services is being exhausted. This is a serious problem since new wireless services and devices are now rolling out at an unprecedented pace. This trend will continue as wireless becomes pervasive in the future.

Recent studies sponsored by the FCC have shown that traditional fixed allocation policy is becoming inadequate in addressing today's rapidly evolving wireless communications. Studies show that many allocated spectrum blocks are used only in certain geographical areas and are idle most of the time. These frequency bands are called the spectrum "white space" (or "hole"). Measurements conducted by the Shared Spectrum Company [1] find that even in the most crowded area near downtown Washington, DC, where both government and commercial spectrum use is considered intensive, 62% of the spectrum remain white space.¹ Another measurement (funded by NSF), also conducted by the Shared Spectrum Company [2], shows

Y. Thomas Hou (✉)
Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University,
Blacksburg, VA, USA
e-mail: thou@vt.edu

A. M. Wyglinski
Worcester Polytechnic Institute,
Worcester, MA, USA
e-mail: alexw@ece.wpi.edu

M. Nekovee
BT Research,
Suffolk, UK
e-mail: maziar.nekovee@bt.com

H. Zhang
Zhejiang University,
Hangzhou, People's Republic of China
e-mail: honggangzhang@zju.edu.cn

R. Chandramouli
Stevens Institute of Technology,
Hoboken, NJ, USA
e-mail: mouli@stevens.edu

F. Martin
Motorola,
Plantation, FL, USA
e-mail: F.Martin@motorola.com

¹ A bandwidth is considered white space if it is wider than 1 MHz and remains unoccupied for at least 10 min [1].

that even during the 2004 Republican National Convention in New York City (perhaps the most heavily-congested area in the USA at that time), there was still significant white space available in the public sector spectrum.

These studies have prompted the FCC to explore new innovative policies to encourage dynamic access to the under-utilized spectrum, provided that interference on licensed users (also called *primary users*) of the spectrum is under control and not excessive [3–5]. Wireless devices are allowed to sense and explore a wide range of the frequency spectrum and identify currently unused spectrum blocks for data communication, as long as such usage does not cause harmful interference to primary users. This approach is also called *dynamic spectrum access* (DSA).

On the radio technology front, the advancement of software-defined radio (SDR) and cognitive radio (CR) technologies makes DSA technically feasible. An SDR is a frequency-agile data communication device. SDR with spectrum sensing and learning/adaptation capabilities is called CR [6, 7]. Here we use CR to stand for programmable radio in the broadest sense (including SDR) when there is no confusion. CR capitalizes on advances in signal processing and radio technology, as well as recent advancements in spectrum policy [7–9]. A CR node constantly senses the spectrum to detect any change in white space. A frequency-agile radio module is capable of reconfiguring RF and switching to newly-selected frequency bands. Thus, a CR can be programmed to tune to a wide spectrum range and operate on any frequency bands in the range. From an application perspective, a CR allows a single radio to provide a wide variety of functions, acting as a cell phone, broadcast receiver, GPS receiver, wireless data terminal or node, etc.

The combination of wireless applications demand, FCC policy reform, and radio technology advances presages great potential for CRs and future generation wireless communications. CR-based wireless networks are expected to route messages through the network and interoperate with the larger Internet, manage spectrum resources dynamically, self-organize with a rapid initial configuration, accommodate mobility, support a variety of network services, use adaptation to ensure quality of service, and support multiple users and domains. The fundamental difference between shared spectrum networks and traditional wireless networks is that there is no statically allocated fixed spectrum for use. A white space in the spectrum may only be used at a specific time period, at a specified location or area, and under certain usage rules. Due to this fundamental difference in spectrum access, data

communication for CR networks is substantially more interesting and challenging.

2 Outline of this special issue

The purpose of this special issue is to disseminate state-of-the-art approaches and novel technologies that will advance basic knowledge and understanding of CR oriented wireless communications and networks. The ten papers selected for this special issue address recent advances in this area at various layers of the protocol stack. Both theoretical and experimental (e.g., testbed) papers are included so that readers can have a comprehensive exposure on the state-of-the-art of CR based wireless networks.

The first paper in this issue, Budiarto, Nikookar, and Lighthart's "Cognitive Radio with Single Carrier TDCS and Multicarrier OFDM Approach with V-BLAST Receiver in Rayleigh Fading Channel" compares a single carrier with TDCS with multi-carrier OFDM in terms of computational complexity, bit error rate (BER) and bit rate. Through computer simulations, the authors conclude that multi-carrier OFDM with interference avoidance capability is the preferred method for CR.

In the second paper in this issue, "Cognitive Radio Design on an MPSoC Reconfigurable Platform", Zhang, Kokkeler and Smit present a system level design methodology for mapping CR onto an MPSoC platform. The design methodology is based on a task transaction level interface (TTL) to partition the application into communicating tasks. By making the communication explicit, the computation (task) is implemented separately from the communication. The authors show a design case and conclude that TTL is a suitable design methodology for mapping CR on a reconfigurable MPSoC.

In the next paper, "Bio-Inspired Algorithms for Dynamic Resource Allocation in Cognitive Wireless Networks," T. Renk et al. present three bio-inspired approaches for distribution and sharing processes in CR networks. The first one deals with the detection of spectrum holes and the second one describes resource allocation in OFDM access based systems. The third one is concerned with distributed resource auctioning.

In their paper "Population Adaptation for Genetic Algorithm-based Cognitive Radios," Newman et al. aim to reduce the computational time for genetic algorithms when they are applied to address problems in CRs. They present a population adaptation technique for genetic

algorithms that takes advantage of the information from previous cognition cycles in order to reduce the time required to reach an optimal decision.

The paper on “Distributed Scheduling and Resource Allocation for Cognitive OFDMA Radios” by Bazerque and Giannakis considers scheduling spectrum access and allocating power and rate resources in CR networks. They present a primal-dual optimization framework to schedule any-to-any CR communications based on OFDM access and allocate power so as to maximize the weighted average sum-rate of all users. The framework leads to an iterative channel-adaptive distributed algorithm whereby nodes rely only on local information exchanges with their neighbors to attain global optimality.

Xin, Ma, and Shen investigate channel assignment for CR wireless networks in their paper entitled “A Path-centric Channel Assignment Framework for Cognitive Radio Wireless Networks.” Their proposed algorithm takes a different approach from traditional channel assignment algorithms for multi-channel wireless networks. They couple both routing and channel assignment, and determine the channel assignment for each node by the routing protocol to achieve globally optimized performance, rather than focusing on the local node with non-coordinated channel assignment.

The paper by Cao and Zheng, “Understanding the Power of Distributed Coordination for Dynamic Spectrum Management,” studies the efficiency and complexity of a distributed spectrum allocation algorithm using explicit user coordination. Users self-organize into coordination groups and adjust spectrum assignments in each local group to approximate an optimal assignment. Compared to the conventional topology-based optimizations, local coordination can reduce the computation and communication overhead required to adapt to topology variations.

In the next paper, Sengupta and Chatterjee investigate possible auction mechanisms for dynamic spectrum allocation in their paper entitled “Designing Auction Mechanisms for Dynamic Spectrum Access.” The authors focus on the scenario where there are multiple spectrum bands in the common pool of auction but each bidder is allocated at most one spectrum band. Through analysis and simulation, the authors show that the popular conception of concurrent auction is not beneficial and show that sequential auction proves to be the better choice for DSA auctions.

In their paper “Potential Cognitive Radio Denial-of-Service Vulnerabilities and Protection Countermeasures: A Multi-dimensional Analysis and Assessment,” Brown and Sethi examine the denial of service vulnerabilities for CRs

and explore potential protection remedies that can be applied. An analysis of how vulnerable are victim CRs to potential denial of service attacks is presented along different axis, namely the network architecture employed, the spectrum access technique used and the spectrum awareness model. The goal is to assist CR designers to incorporate effective security measures in the early stages of CR development.

The final paper in this issue, by Miljanic et al. presents an experimental CR hardware platform. In this paper, entitled “The WINLAB Network Centric Cognitive Radio Hardware Platform - WiNC2R,” the authors described the architecture and hardware implementation of a network-centric experimental CR prototype platform under development at WINLAB. The system is based on the “CogNet” protocol architecture running on either GNU/USRP or the WiNC2R hardware board. Initial hardware design work and component level protocol evaluations are presented.

As guest editors of this special issue, we wish to thank Professor Imrich Chlamtac, Editor-in-Chief of this journal, for his advice and support in this effort. We also wish to thank all the reviewers who contributed their valuable time and expertise during the paper selection process.

References

1. McHenry M (2003) Spectrum white space measurements, presentation to New America Foundation Broad-Band Forum, June 20. http://www.newamerica.net/Download_Docs/pdfs/Doc_File_185_1.pdf
2. McHenry M, McCloskey D (2004) New York City spectrum occupancy measurements, September. http://www.sharedspectrum.com/inc/content/measurements/nsf/NYC_report.pdf
3. FCC (2003) “Facilitating opportunities for flexible, efficient, and reliable spectrum use employing cognitive radio technologies, notice of proposed rule making and order,” FCC 03-322.
4. FCC (2000) “In the matter of promoting efficient use of spectrum through elimination of barriers to the development of secondary markets,” WT Docket No. 00-230, FCC Record 20604.
5. FCC (2004) “Promoting efficient use of spectrum through elimination of barriers to the development of secondary markets,” WT Docket No. 00-230, report and order and further notice of proposed rulemaking, FCC 03-113 (secondary markets report and order and FNPRM).
6. Haykin S (2005) Cognitive radio: brain-empowered wireless communications. *IEEE J Select Areas Commun* 23(2):201–220
7. Mitola J III (2000) Cognitive radio: an integrated agent architecture for software defined radio. Ph.D. thesis, KTH Royal Institute of Technology
8. Reed JH (2002) Software radio: a modern approach to radio engineering. Prentice Hall, Upper Saddle, NJ
9. SDR Forum. <http://www.sdrforum.org>



Y. Thomas Hou received the B. E. degree from the City College of New York in 1991, the M.S. degree from Columbia University in 1993, and the Ph.D. degree from Polytechnic University, Brooklyn, New York, in 1998, all in Electrical Engineering. Since August 2002, he has been with Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University (“Virginia Tech”), the Bradley Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Blacksburg, VA, where he is now an Associate Professor. His current

research interests are radio resource (spectrum) management and networking for cognitive radio wireless networks, optimization and algorithm design for wireless ad hoc and sensor networks, and video communications over dynamic ad hoc networks. From 1997 to 2002, Dr. Hou was a Researcher at Fujitsu Laboratories of America, Sunnyvale, CA, where he worked on scalable architectures, protocols, and implementations for differentiated services Internet; service overlay networking; video streaming; network bandwidth allocation policies and distributed flow control algorithms. Prof. Hou was a recipient of an Office of Naval Research (ONR) Young Investigator Award (2003) and a National Science Foundation (NSF) CAREER Award (2004) for his research on optimizations and algorithm design for wireless ad hoc and sensor networks. He has published extensively in leading IEEE journals and top tier IEEE and ACM conferences and received five best paper awards from IEEE (including IEEE INFOCOM 2008 Best Paper Award and IEEE ICNP 2002 Best Paper Award). He holds five US patents. Prof. Hou is active in professional services and is currently serving as an Editor of *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, *ACM/Springer Wireless Networks*, and *Elsevier Ad Hoc Networks*. He was a past Associate Editor of *IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology*. He was Co-Chair of Technical Program Committee (TPC) of the Second International Conference on Cognitive Radio Oriented Wireless Networks and Communications (CROWNCOM 2007), Orlando, FL, August 1–3, 2007. He was the Founding Chair of the First IEEE Workshop on Networking Technologies for Software Defined Radio Networks, September 25, 2006, Reston, VA. Prof. Hou was Co-Chair (with Tony Ephremides) of NSF Workshop on Bridging the Gap between Wireless Networking Technologies and Advances at the Physical Layer, August 27–28, 2007, Reston, VA. He is TPC Co-Chair of IEEE INFOCOM 2009, to be held in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.



Alexander M. Wyglinski is an Assistant Professor of Electrical and Computer Engineering at Worcester Polytechnic Institute, Worcester, MA, USA. He received his Ph.D. degree from McGill University, Montreal, Canada in 2005, his M.S. degree from Queen’s University, Kingston, Canada in 2000, and his B. Eng. degree from McGill University in 1999, all in electrical engineering. He is a member of IEEE. Prof. Wyglinski is very actively involved in the wireless

communications research community, especially in the fields of cognitive radio systems and dynamic spectrum access networks. He currently serves on the editorial boards of both the *IEEE Communications Magazine* and the *IEEE Communications Surveys and Tutorials*, as a guest editor for the *IEEE Communications Magazine Feature Topic on Cognitive Radio for Dynamic Spectrum Access* (May 2007 issue), as a technical program committee co-chair for the Second International Conference on Cognitive Radio Oriented Wireless Networks and Communications (CrownCom 2007), as a track chair for both the 64th and 66th IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference (VTC), and as a technical program committee member on several IEEE and other international conferences in wireless communications and networks. Prof. Wyglinski’s current research interests are in the areas of wireless communications, wireless networks, cognitive radios, software-defined radios, transceiver optimization algorithms, dynamic spectrum access networks, spectrum availability and sensing techniques, hybrid fiber-wireless networking, multi-hop and ad hoc networks, and signal processing techniques for digital communications.



Maziar Nekovee is a senior scientist at the Mobility Research Centre, British Telecom (BT), where he leads research on cognitive radio networks and next generation wireless systems with dynamic spectrum access. Other areas of research include performance analysis and computational modeling of wireless vehicular communications networks, and theory and applications of complex networks. He received his MSc. in Electrical Engineering (cum laude) from Delft University of Technology

in the Netherlands and his PhD in theoretical and computational Physics from the University of Nijmegen, also in the Netherlands. Prior to joining BT he held research posts at Imperial College and Queen Mary College in London. Dr. Nekovee is the author of over 50 papers in international journals and conferences and holds several patents. He is a guest co-editor of a special issue of *ACM/Springer Mobile Networks and Applications* (MONET) on Cognitive Radios, and a guest co-editor of a focus issue of the *New Journal of Physics* on complex networks. Dr. Nekovee is the recipient of a prestigious Industry Fellowship from the Royal Society (UK’s Academy of Science) and an honorary staff member of University College London.



Honggang Zhang is currently a professor in the Department of Information Science and Electronic Engineering at Zhejiang University, P.R. China, which he joined in March 2008. Prior to that, he was with CreateNet, Italy, leading the wireless teams in exploring Cognitive Radio (CR) and its integration with Ultra-Wideband (UWB) technologies for open-spectrum wireless communications and networks evolution. He received the Ph.D. degree in Electrical

Engineering from Kagoshima University, Japan, in 1999. Prior to that, he received the Bachelor of Engineering and Master of Engineering degrees, both in Electrical Engineering, from Huazhong University of Science & Technology (HUST), China, in 1989, and Lanzhou University of Technology, China, in 1992, respectively. From October 1999 to March 2002, he was with the Shin-Kawasaki Research Center, the Telecommunications Advancement Organization (TAO) of Japan, as a TAO Research Fellow. From April 2002 to November 2002, he joined the TOYOTA IT Center, where he performed research and development on software-defined radio (SDR) with applications to Intelligent Transport Systems (ITS). From December 2002 to August 2004, he has been with the UWB Research Consortium, the Communications Research Laboratory (CRL) and the National Institute of Information and Communications Technology (NICT) of Japan, where his R&D responsibilities were focused on UWB wireless communications, IEEE 802.15.3a & 4a WPAN standardizations, Wireless 1394 and “1394-Over-UWB” smart home networks. He is the founding member of UWB Forum (www.uwbforum.org) and the principle author and contributor for proposing DS-UWB in IEEE 802.15 WPAN standardization task group. In the past he initiated the “Soft-Spectrum Adaptation (SSA)” technique and contributed to its worldwide developments.



Rajarathnam Chandramouli (Mouli) is the Thomas E. Hatrick Chair Associate Professor of Information Systems in the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering at Stevens Institute of Technology. His research spanning the areas of wireless/multimedia networking and security is funded by the National Science Foundation (NSF), DoD, and industry. He is a recipient of the NSF CAREER Award. Dr. Chandramouli is the Founding Chair of the IEEE COMSOC Technical Sub-

committee on Cognitive Networks. He is also a member of the Management Board of IEEE SCC 41 representing IEEE COMSOC. He has served as an Associate Editor for the *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems for Video Technology*, and is currently an Associate Editor for the *Journal on Advances in Multimedia*. He is on the organizing committees of a number of IEEE conferences. Dr. Chandramouli has won numerous awards. Most recently, a paper on dynamic spectrum access authored with his student won the Best Student Paper Award in IEEE CCNC 2006. Another IEEE JSAC (2006) paper on open spectrum access has been recognized as a best recent paper by the Open Spectrum Foundation. He is a Co-founder, President and CEO of InStream Media, LLC, an interactive Internet media company.



Frederick Martin is a researcher and manager for Motorola Labs in Plantation, Florida. His research interests include low power wireless systems and cognitive radio. He and his team are currently involved in several activities relating to cognitive radio, including IEEE SCC41 and IEEE 802.22. Dr. Martin received the Bachelor of Science in Applied Science and Master of Engineering in Electrical Engineering from the Speed Scientific School at University of Louisville. He received his

Ph.D. in Electrical Engineering from the University of Florida. In a long career at Motorola, he has been engaged in the design of both circuit and protocol for wireless systems. Among his accomplishments, he was the architect for the first fractional-division phase-locked loop synthesizer IC and the team leader and architect for the first IC compliant with the IEEE 802.15.4 standard. Dr. Martin is a senior member of the IEEE and a Motorola Dan Noble Fellow. He holds 24 US Patents and numerous publications.